

FILED ON COURT

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

W.P.No. 258 /2001

PETITIONER : Abdul Hamid son of Md. Umar Khan aged about 65 years, r/o Ambikapur City, Parra Dand, Distt. Surguja, C.G.

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the secretary, Ministry of Law, Government of Chhattisgarh., Raipur.
2. Md. Alim s/o Md. Saddique, aged about 44 years,
3. Md. Halim s/o Md. Saddique, aged about 42 years,
4. Md. Hakim s/o Md. Saddique, aged about 40 years,
5. Md. Kalim s/o Md. Saddique, aged about 40 years,
6. Riazuddin s/o Md. Salim, aged about 27 years,
7. Sairaj s/o Md. Salim, aged about 21 years,
8. Mairaj s/o Md. Salim, aged about 19 years.
9. Mst. Nurjahan W/o Md. Salim, aged about 52 years.
10. Mst. Jainab Bibi W/o Md. Siddique, aged about 69 years.
11. Mst. Najma D/o Md. Salim, aged about 29 years.
12. Mst. Najmeen D/o Md. Salim, aged about 25 years.

S. R. No. 276/01 Advocate
Filed on Bhil... 22-2-01
Date ...

RECEIVED COPY.
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

contd..2



(4)

FILED

13. Najreen D/o Md. Salim, aged about 23 years,

14. Gudia D/o Md. Salim, aged about 19 years.

15. Mst. Muraisha Bibi, D/o Md. Saiddique, aged about 30yrs.

16. Mst. Kuraishi Bibi D/o Md. Saiddique, aged about 28 years.

17. Mst. Aiyesha Bibi, D/o Md. Saiddique, aged about 26 years.

All respondents no. 2 to 17 residents of Mohalla Paradand, Ambikapur city, Distt. Surguja. C.G.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

(77)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 258/2001 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	12-07-2002 **Shri Sunil Sinha, learned counsel for the petitioner.** **Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Adv. for the State/respondent No.1.** **Mrs. Indira Tripathi, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 to 17.** **Heard.** **The dispute in this writ petition relates to a house alongwith Badi situated over 0.07 decimals of land, which is a portion of Khasra No.2488 of Parradand Mohalla, Ambikapur. There was a civil revision between the parties in which the petitioner herein was not successful. He lost before the High Court of Madhya Pradesh. The matter went up to the Supreme Court, where the petitioner also lost. Thereafter a petition under Article 32 of the Constitution of India was filed before the Apex Court and when the matter came up for hearing, the petitioner withdrew the said writ petition. The order discloses that he had made a statement that he would move a writ petition before the High Court. His statement was recorded in the order of the Apex**	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

(78)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.258/2001 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Court. Subsequent thereto, the petitioner has filed this present writ petition.

Since the subject matter of dispute has already been adjudicated by the High Court in Second Appeal No.380/1987 (copy whereof is Annexure p – 4) which was dismissed and that dismissal order was affirmed by the Apex Court in S.L.P.No.9314/1994 on 13-01-1993, the question of reopening the matter by way of this writ petition does not arise. What the petitioner really wants in this writ petition is to quash the orders which have been passed by this Court and incidentally that of Apex Court, which had dismissed the Special Leave Petition. This cannot be done. Accordingly, the petition fails and is dismissed.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
P. C. Naik
Judge

Murthy

[पीछे देखिये